his retirement from Westinghouse in 1989. He and his wife have five children, 13 grandchildren, and one great-grandchild.

I ask my colleagues to join me in celebrating an individual who is emblematic of the greatest armed forces in the world. World War II is filled with stories of heroism, triumph, and patriotism; and it is truly an honor to share Victor's story with my colleagues today.

I again want to commend Victor DiCarlo for his commitment to his country and join with his family in congratulating him for being awarded the prestigious National Order of the French Legion of Honor.

## BIDDING FAREWELL TO OUTGOING OHIO MEMBERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TURNER) for 5 minutes.

Mr. TURNER of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, I am here today to extend my sincere and best wishes as five of my fellow Ohioans will be leaving the House at the adjournment of this Congress.

Ohioans have benefited greatly from the dedication and service of Representative STEVE LATOURETTE, who occupies the Speaker's chair today; Representatives DENNIS KUCINICH; JEAN SCHMIDT; BETTY SUTTON; and STEVE AUSTRIA. On a personal note, I want to thank Representative STEVE LATOURETTE for both his mentorship and his guidance and leadership in Congress.

I've had the privilege of working across the aisle with each of these law-makers in support of our fellow Buckeyes and Americans. Their service to our home State of Ohio and to our Nation will not end with this Congress. Their innovative ideas and selfless service will be felt long after they leave the people's House.

I look forward to their future roles as Ohioans, committed to advancing the interests of our communities, our State, and our great country.

## AT LAST, FISCAL CLIFF DEBATE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from California (Ms. PELOSI) for 5 minutes.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I come to the floor very pleased that our Speaker of the House, Mr. Boehner, has brought the discussion of our fiscal challenges to this floor. Indeed, it is long overdue. We have been calling upon the Speaker to bring forth a middle-income tax cut now for a very long time—in fact, since last summer when it passed the United States Senate. The President stands ready and poised with his pen to sign it.

Democrats in the House have a discharge petition to bring that bill to the floor. What stands in the way is an act on the part of the Republican majority to bring a middle-income tax cut to the floor of the House, which across the country has almost universal support

and which I think in this body, given the right to vote for it, would have overwhelming support.

Up until now, everybody in the country—in fact, in the world—has been talking about what's going to happen—those who pay attention to such matters—what's going to happen in the budget debate in the Congress and with the President. At last, that subject comes to the floor.

## $\square$ 1210

What I would do to respond to what the Speaker has said, though, is to set the record straight. The fact is that the President has, and Democrats agree with him, agreed to around \$1.6 trillion in cuts in the Budget Control Act and other acts of Congress in this particular Congress, \$1.6 trillion in cuts. Where are the cuts? They're in bills that you, Mr. Speaker, have voted for

Secondly, on the issue of the entitlements with the Affordable Care Act and with legislation, suggestions and provisions in the President's budget, it amounts to over \$1 trillion in savings in Medicare, over \$1 trillion in savings which have been redirected to prolonging the life of Medicare, making it stronger for nearly a decade while increasing benefits for our seniors and those who depend on Medicare—not reducing but increasing benefits. There's been a massive misrepresentation about what that is, so I want to set the record straight. So in terms of spending cuts, we are on the record having voted for about \$1.6 trillion.

In terms of entitlement reform, there is over \$1 trillion already and more savings to be gained in further discussions on the subject by a strong down payment.

What is missing are two elements that the President has put forth in his budget: growth, investments in infrastructure—yes, the President has called for investments in infrastructure to build the infrastructure of America and to create jobs to grow our economy: and, where are the revenues? Where are the revenues? Regardless of the cuts or the changes in entitlements, more is demanded in terms of what seniors would have to pay into Medicare and at what age that would happen, while the Republicans refuse to touch one hair on the head of the wealthiest people in our country.

The public overwhelmingly, 2–1, supports the President's initiative for extending the middle-income tax cuts whereby 100 percent—100 percent—of U.S. taxpayers get a tax cut. Above 250—the people making more than \$250,000 a year would be asked to pay a little more to contribute to the fiscal soundness of our country, to pay our bills, the defense of our country, the support of our troops, the pillars of security for our seniors, the education of our children and the safety of our neighborhoods.

This is just asking them to pay a little bit more while they continue to get the same tax cut that everyone does. So it is 100 percent of the American people get a tax cut, the upper 2 percent are asked to pay a little bit more.

So I thank the Speaker for finally at least uttering the words on the floor of the House about what the decisions are that need to be made. Again, we have committed to the cuts, we have acted upon the entitlements, the President has more in his budget, all of this would be a down payment for as we go forward into the next session of Congress to talk about tax simplification and fairness, how we can perhaps lower rates while plugging up loopholes and having a Tax Code that encourages growth in our economy.

But that is a longer discussion as we address the issue of how we strengthen our entitlements not by diminishing benefits but by getting more for what we are spending. So if it's Social Security, any changes in Social Security should be left to strengthen Social Security. If it's Medicare, any changes should be there to strengthen Medicare, not to underwrite and subsidize tax cuts for the wealthiest people in our country.

So, again, I welcome the Speaker's statement that he wants to solve the problem. The President has put forth his budget, which has his initiative in it. He has said that he's willing to make some changes. But it's really important that any changes not hurt the middle class. It comes right down to this. Again, I've said, it's not about the price of the high-end tax cut, it's about the money that it generates. You can find the money another way at the high end. Let's see what that discussion is. But it is not to burden the middle income in order to have bigger tax cuts at the high end.

Those high-end tax cuts only increase the deficit. They have not created jobs. It's simply unfair, and it doesn't work. So hopefully the clock is ticking, we're getting closer to the holidays, and that means closer to the end of the year, which is fraught with meaning in terms of time and the rest of this. I don't think there's any reason for us not to come to the table to make an agreement to give confidence to consumers in this holiday season and to the markets at their end of year decisions so that we will have the growth—the growth, the jobs that produce revenue. That approach is the way to create jobs to reduce the def-

We want to fix the deficit, grow the economy, and do so in a way that makes responsible cuts and strong investments for our seniors and the pillars of economic security for them and for their family. It is not a time to inject even more uncertainty into the economy of the American people and the economy of our country—and what that means globally. It simply isn't the time. Many of these ideas are bad at any time, but they're particularly harmful at this time.

So, again, I thank the Speaker for bringing the issue finally to the floor